1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAYER BROWN LLP NEIL M. SOLTMAN (SBN 67617) nsoltman@mayerbrown.com MATTHEW H. MARMOLEJO (SBN 24 mmarmolejo@mayerbrown.com RUTH ZADIKANY (SBN 260288) rzadikany@mayerbrown.com REBECCA B. JOHNS (SBN 293989) rjohns@mayerbrown.com 350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 Telephone: (213) 229-9500 Facsimile: (213) 625-0248 Attorneys for Plaintiffs MICHIKO SHIOTA GINGERY, KOICH GAHT-US CORPORATION			
11				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14				
15	MICHIKO SHIOTA GINGERY, an	Case No. 2:14-cv-1291		
16	MICHIKO SHIOTA GINGERY, an individual, KOICHI MERA, an individual, GAHT-US Corporation, a California non-profit corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR		
17	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
18				
19				
20	CITY OF GLENDALE, a municipal corporation, SCOTT OCHOA, in his capacity as Glendale City Manager,			
21	Defendants.			
22				
23 24				
24 25				
25 26				
26 27				
27 28				
28				
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 708340890			

Plaintiffs Michiko Shiota Gingery, Koichi Mera and GAHT-US Corporation ("GAHT"), allege as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises under, inter alia, 42 U.S.C. § 1983; the foreign 4 5 affairs powers of the United States, U.S. Const. art. II, sec. 1, cl. 1; sec. 2, cl. 1; sec. 2, cl. 2; and sec. 3; and the Supremacy Clause, U.S. Constitution, art. VI, cl. 2. 6 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 7 1343(a)(3), and the power to grant declaratory and injunctive relief under 28 8 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction under 28 9 U.S.C. § 1367 over all claims that are so related to claims in the action within 10 original jurisdiction such that they form part of the same case or controversy. 11

Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)
 because the conduct complained of occurred, is occurring, and/or will continue to
 occur in Glendale, California, within this judicial district. Defendant City of
 Glendale ("Glendale") maintains its offices in Glendale, California. Defendant
 Scott Ochoa ("Ochoa"), who is sued in his official capacity as the City Manager of
 Glendale, maintains his offices in Glendale, California.

18

1

2

3

NATURE OF THE ACTION

3. Plaintiffs seek injunctive and declaratory relief relating to the 19 presence of a monument authorized by Glendale and Ochoa and condemning the 20 nation of Japan for its involvement with and treatment of what have come to be 21 known as "comfort women." The monument is located on public land in a publicly 22 owned park in Glendale known as Central Park, located at 201 South Colorado St., 23 Glendale, CA 91205 (the "Public Monument"). Plaintiffs seeks this relief on the 24 grounds that the Public Monument exceeds the power of Glendale, infringes upon 25 the federal government's power to exclusively conduct the foreign affairs of the 26 United States, and violates the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution. 27

4. The Public Monument threatens to negatively affect U.S. foreign 1 relations with Japan, one of this nation's most important allies, and is inconsistent 2 with the foreign policy of the United States. That policy is to encourage the 3 relevant foreign nations with direct involvement in the historic events involving 4 5 comfort women, including the governments of Japan and the Republic of Korea ("South Korea"), to resolve the debate relating to comfort women between or 6 among themselves without the involvement of the United States. The proper 7 historical characterization of the events in issue and the precise role of national 8 governments in those acts have been the subject of discussions and negotiations 9 between the governments of Japan and South Korea for decades, and remain an 10 active topic of political debate. 11

12

13

5. The emplacement of the Public Monument also violates Glendale's

Municipal Code.

14

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Michiko Shiota Gingery ("Gingery") is a long-time resident 15 Gingery lives in the vicinity of Central Park and the Public of Glendale. 16 Monument. Gingery is a founding member of Glendale's Sister City Committee, a 17 committee created to develop and administer Glendale's Sister City Program. In 18 this capacity, Gingery made significant contributions to Glendale's establishment 19 of a Sister City relationship with the City of Higashiosaka (at the time called 20 Hiraoka), Japan, Glendale's first Sister City. Gingery was born in Japan, and is 21 now a naturalized U.S. citizen. As a Glendale resident of Japanese heritage, 22 Gingery believes the Public Monument presents an unfairly one-sided portrayal of 23 24 the historical and political debate surrounding comfort women and presents the potential to disrupt the United States' strategic alliances with its closest East Asian 25 allies, Japan and South Korea. She also believes the emplacement of the Public 26 Monument represents a significant obstacle in maintaining friendly relations 27 among Glendale's sister-cities, the primary objective of the Sister City Program. 28

Gingery suffers feelings of exclusion, discomfort, and anger because of the 1 2 position espoused by her city of residence through its display and endorsement of the Public Monument. Gingery would like to use Glendale's Central Park and the 3 Adult Recreation Center located within Central Park. But she now avoids doing so 4 because she is offended by the Public Monument's pointed expression of 5 disapproval of Japan and the Japanese people. In addition, the presence of the 6 Public Monument diminishes Gingery's enjoyment of the Central Park and its 7 Adult Recreation Center. 8

7. 9 Plaintiff GAHT-US Corporation ("GAHT-US") is a non-profit public benefit corporation organized under the laws of the State of California. The 10 purpose of GAHT-US is to provide accurate and fact-based educational resources 11 to the public in the U.S., including within California and Glendale, concerning the 12 history of World War II and related events, with an emphasis on Japan's role. 13 GAHT-US has undertaken this goal in an effort to enhance a mutual historical and 14 cultural understanding between and among the Japanese and American people. 15 Given its mission, GAHT-US believes that the Public Monument advances an 16 unfairly biased portrayal of the Japanese government's purported involvement with 17 comfort women during the Second World War. Individual members of GAHT-US 18 reside in Glendale and nearby cities. GAHT-US's members suffer feelings of 19 exclusion, discomfort, and anger by the continued presence of the Public 20 Monument, and the controversial and disputed stance on the debate surrounding 21 comfort women that it perpetuates. Although GAHT-US members would like to 22 use Glendale's Central Park and its Adult Recreation Center, they no longer intend 23 to do so as a result of their distress due to the Public Monument. In addition, the 24 presence of the Public Monument diminishes GAHT-US members' enjoyment of 25 the Central Park and its Adult Recreation Center. 26

8. Plaintiff Koichi Mera ("Mera") is a Japanese-American resident of the
City of Los Angeles and the President of GAHT-US. Mera disagrees with and is

offended by the position espoused by Glendale through the Public Monument and
its pointed condemnation of the Japanese people and government. Although Mera
would like to use Glendale's Central Park and its Adult Recreation Center, as a
result of his alienation due to the Public Monument, he avoids doing so. In
addition, the presence of the Public Monument diminishes Mera's enjoyment of
the Central Park and its Adult Recreation Center.

9. Defendant Glendale is a political subdivision of the State of California 7 operating under a charter authorized by the State of California that empowers it to 8 pass lawful ordinances and to govern and administer municipal activities within 9 Glendale's city limits, with authority to be sued in its own name. Glendale's 10 governing authority consists of city council, composed of five city council 11 members (the "City Council"), one of whom also serves as the mayor. The City 12 Council makes policy decisions for Glendale, including decisions regarding the use 13 of public lands. 14

10. At all relevant times hereto, defendant Ochoa has been the duly 15 appointed City Manager of Glendale with supervisorial responsibility over the day-16 to-day administration of Glendale's various departments and staff, including but 17 not limited to Glendale's Department of Community Services and Parks, 18 Department of Public Works, Department of Community Development, and 19 Department of Management Services; these departments in one or another manner 20 are involved in the management and operation of Central Park and/or the Public 21 Monument. Ochoa effectively acts as, and is publicly held out to operate as, 22 Glendale's Chief Executive Officer. At all relevant times with respect to the 23 Public Monument, Ochoa acted under color of state law and with the power and 24 authority granted to him by the State of California and Glendale to deprive 25 Plaintiffs of their federal constitutional rights, for which Plaintiffs seek injunctive 26 and declaratory relief. 27

1	FACTUAL BACKGROUND			
2	Glendale's Public Monument			
3	11. At a Special Meeting on July 9, 2013, the City Council approved the			
4	installation of the Public Monument, described as "a Korean Sister City 'Comfort			
5	Woman' Peace Monument," on a substantial portion of public land immediately			
6	adjacent to the Adult Recreation Center Plaza in Central Park. The Public			
7	Monument was unveiled 21 days later, on July 30, 2013. The Public Monument is			
8	a 1,100-pound bronze statue of a young girl in Korean dress sitting next to an			
9	empty chair with a bird perched on her shoulder. Integral to and alongside the			
10	statue is a permanent bronze plaque that reads:			
11	I was a sex slave of Japanese military			
12	• Torn hair symbolizes the girl being snatched from her			
13	home by the Imperial Japanese Army.			
14	• Tight fists represent the girl's firm resolve for a			
15	deliverance of justice.			
16	• Bare and unsettled feet represent having been abandoned			
17	by the cold and unsympathetic world.			
18	• Bird on the girl's shoulder symbolizes a bond between us			
19	and the deceased victims.			
20	• Empty chair symbolizes survivors who are dying of old			
21	age without having yet witnessed justice.			
22	• Shadow of the girl is that of an old grandma, symbolizing			
23	passage of time spent in silence.			
24	• Butterfly in shadow represents hope that victims may			
25	resurrect one day to receive their apology.			
26	Peace Monument			
27	In memory of more than 200,000 Asian and Dutch			
28	women who were removed from their homes in Korea,			
	5 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			

China, Taiwan, Japan, the Philippines, Thailand,			
Vietnam, Malaysia, East Timor and Indonesia, to be			
coerced into sexual slavery by the Imperial Armed			
Forces of Japan between 1932 and 1945.			
And in celebration of proclamation of "Comfort Women			
Day" by the City of Glendale on July 30, 2012, and of			
passing of House Resolution 121 by the United States			
Congress on July 30, 2007, urging the Japanese			
Government to accept historical responsibility for these			
crimes.			
It is our sincere hope that these unconscionable violations			
of human rights shall never recur.			
July 30, 2013.			
12. No other monuments are present in this area of Central Park and, upon			
information and belief, no other permanent markers may be placed there without			
approval of the City Council.			
13. Glendale exercises exclusive custody and control of Central Park and			
the Public Monument, and upon information and belief, provides all necessary			
maintenance services for the Public Monument.			
The Historical Background Of The Debate Concerning Comfort Women			
14. During World War II and the decade leading up to it, an unknown			
number of women from Japan, Korea, China, and a number of nations in Southeast			
Asia, were recruited, employed, and/or otherwise acted as sexual partners for			
troops of the Japanese Empire in various parts of the Pacific Theater of war. These			
6			

women are often referred to as comfort women, a loose translation of the Japanese
 word for prostitute.

15. Beginning in the 1980s, a dispute arose between South Korea and the
government of Japan concerning the hardships experienced by Korean comfort
women and whether the Japanese government forcefully recruited comfort women.

16. Officials of the Japanese government assert that the Japanese military
and Japanese Imperial government were not responsible for or directly involved in
the recruitment of comfort women, and that private firms and individuals
undertook the recruitment.

10 17. Other governments, including that of South Korea, claim that comfort
11 women were recruited by and/or forced into sexual slavery by the Imperial
12 Japanese government and/or officials of the Japanese military.

13 18. The debate concerning historic responsibility for the comfort women
14 camps has been a significant and ongoing source of tension in recent decades
15 between Japan and South Korea, both of which are critical American allies.
16 Disagreements concerning responsibility for comfort women are a major
17 impediment to improved present-day relations between Japan and South Korea,
18 which are less than cordial.

19

Efforts By Japan and South Korea To Address The Dispute

19. After some years of controversy regarding the Japanese Imperial
Government's alleged involvement with comfort women, in 1995 Japan
established the Asian Women's Fund to distribute compensation to former comfort
women in South Korea, the Philippines, Taiwan, the Netherlands, and Indonesia,
and to provide them with letters of apology from the Prime Minister of Japan.

25 20. Nonetheless, several governments, including the government of South
26 Korea, have continued to demand that Japan take additional steps to redress
27 grievances relating to comfort women.

21. The Japanese government asserts that all World War II-related claims 1 2 against Japan, including those related to comfort women, were resolved by the Treaty of Peace signed in San Francisco by Japan, the United States, and 47 other 3 allied nations in 1951 (the "Treaty of San Francisco"), the Treaty on Basic 4 Relations between Japan and the Republic of Korea dated June 22, 1965, and/or 5 the Agreement on the Settlement of Problems Concerning Property and Claims and 6 on Economic Co-operation between Japan and the Republic of Korea also dated 7 June 22, 1965 (the "Settlement Agreement"). 8

9 22. Article 4(a) of the Treaty of San Francisco provides that claims of
10 Korean and Chinese nationals relating to Japan's wartime conduct, including issues
11 related to comfort women, are to be addressed through government-to-government
12 negotiations between Japan and each of those countries.

Article 2(1) of the Settlement Agreement provides that the "problem
concerning property, rights and interests of the two Contracting Parties [*i.e.*, Japan
and South Korea] and their nationals (including juridical persons) and concerning
claims between the Contracting Parties and their nationals . . . is settled completely
and finally."

24. In December 2011, Japanese Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda and 18 South Korean President Lee Myung-bak held talks in Kyoto, Japan in an effort to 19 improve bilateral relations between the two neighboring countries. The 20 discussions terminated when President Lee pressed Prime Minister Noda to take 21 additional responsibility for Korean comfort women. Plaintiffs are informed and 22 believe that no further discussions between Japan and South Korea have since 23 24 taken place.

25

Glendale's Installation Of The Public Monument

26 25. Glendale has established a Glendale Sister Cities program to initiate
27 ongoing communication and "promote[] interest and good will" between and
28 among Glendale and its Sister Cities. As of March 2009, Glendale had six Sister

City partnerships: Higashiosaka, Japan; Hiroshima, Japan; Tlaquepaque, Mexico;
 Rosarito, Mexico; Ghapan, Armenia; and Goseong City, the Republic of Korea.

26. On September 6, 2011, the City Council instructed Glendale's
Community Services and Parks staff to explore the possibility of dedicating a
portion of public land within Glendale for acceptance and installation of
memorials, monuments, and/or artifacts representative of Glendale's sister city
partners.

8 27. On March 26, 2013, the City Council voted to dedicate a plot of
9 public land within Central Park and adjacent to the Adult Recreation Center Plaza
10 for the purpose of sister city-related monuments and memorials.

11 28. In the spring and summer of 2013, a proposal was made to place a
12 statue in Central Park dedicated to comfort women. During that period, the City
13 Council received hundreds of letters and emails in opposition to the installation of
14 the monument, almost entirely from residents and interested persons of Japanese
15 ancestry.

16 29. At a July 9, 2013 Special Meeting the City Council considered and approved a motion to install the Public Monument, described as a "Korean Sister 17 City 'Comfort Women' Peace Monument," on public land within Central Park. 18 The report recommending approval of the installation of the Public Monument, 19 submitted to the City Council in conjunction with the motion, included a schematic 20 diagram depicting the proposed statue and its location. The inclusion of the 21 motion to approve installation of the Public Monument in the Special Meeting 22 agenda was submitted to and approved by Ochoa. 23

30. The schematic diagram of the proposed statue did not include any
mention of, or reference to, the text of the plaque that currently is part of the Public
Monument. During the Special Meeting, City Council Member Ara Najarian
asked Glendale Community Relations Coordinator Dan Bell whether the statue
would be accompanied by a plaque and, if so, its inscription. Mr. Bell advised the

City Council that the plaque would say that it was "commemorating and in honor
 of the comfort women." Mr. Bell made no mention of the text of the plaque that
 ultimately was installed as part of the Public Monument.

4

5

6

7

8

31. During the Special Meeting, numerous individuals, including Japanese-Americans, publicly opposed and condemned the proposed installation of the statue, arguing that the comfort women issue is a matter of current diplomatic communications between South Korea and Japan, and the disputed view advanced by the South Korean government on comfort women.

Notwithstanding the numerous objections voiced at the Special 9 32. Meeting, the City Council approved the installation of the "Korean Sister City 10 'Comfort Women' Peace Monument" "as shown and described in the Report to 11 Council dated July 9, 2013" by a vote of 4 to 1. Glendale Mayor Dave Weaver, 12 who voted against installation of the Public Monument, later explained in a letter 13 to Yoshikazu Noda, Mayor of Higashiosaka, Japan (a Glendale sister city) that the 14 dispute over comfort women "is an international one between Japan and South 15 Korea and the City of Glendale should not be involved on either side." 16

33. Three weeks after the City Council's approval, on July 30, 2013, the
1,100 pound bronze Public Monument was unveiled in Central Park. As described
above, the statue was accompanied by a plaque accusing the Japanese government
of "coerc[ing]" more than 200,000 women "into sexual slavery," and "urging the
Japanese Government to accept historical responsibility for these crimes," which it
labels an "unconscionable violations of human rights." The City Council never
voted to approve the language included on the plaque.

34. Following the Public Monument's installation, at the July 30, 2013
Meeting of the City Council, Glendale City Council Member Laura Friedman
commented: "We really put the city of Glendale on the international map today by
doing this."

35. The installation of the Public Monument prompted opponents of the Public Monument to commence a petition to compel its removal. The petition, posted on President Barack Obama's website "We The People" in late 2013, quickly received more than 108,000 signatures.

5

1

2

3

4

The Japanese Government's Reaction To The Public Monument

Glendale's decision to install the Public Monument has elicited
numerous unfavorable reactions from the Japanese government.

8 37. On July 24, 2013, Kuni Sato, the press secretary of the Japanese 9 Ministry of Foreign Affairs, expressed Japan's official displeasure, remarking that 10 installation of the Public Monument "does not coincide with our understanding" of 11 the comfort women dispute.

38. On July 25, 2013, Yoshikazu Noda, the Mayor of Glendale's sister
city, Higashiosaka, Japan, advised the City Council that the installation of the
Public Monument was "an extremely deplorable situation and the people of
Higashiosaka are hurt at a decision made by [Glendale] city to install a comfort
woman monument."

39. On July 31, 2013, Kenichiro Sasae, Japanese Ambassador to the
United States, declared that Glendale's action is "irreconcilable" with the position
of the Government of Japan and is "highly regrettable."

40. On July 31, 2013, Mr. Yoshihide Suga, Japan's Chief Cabinet
Secretary, described Glendale's decision to install the Public Monument as
"extremely regrettable." He added that Glendale's action "conflicts with the
[Japanese] government's view that the issue of the comfort women should not be
part of any political or diplomatic agenda."

25

26

41. On August 13, 2013, Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe stated that he was "extremely dissatisfied" with the installation of the Public Monument.

42. On January 16, 2014, after being denied a request to meet with
Glendale's Mayor and City Council, an association of 321 local Japanese

government legislators submitted an official letter to Glendale, protesting the
 Public Monument's installation "in the strongest terms" and requesting "that the
 statue be removed immediately." The letter advised Glendale that "the distorted
 view of history that the statue represents . . . will surely jeopardize world peace and
 the possibility of a bright future for our children."

6

The Executive Branch's Foreign Policy Position On Comfort Women

7 43. The Executive Branch of the United States, which has primary
8 authority over the direction and conduct of U.S. foreign affairs, consistently has
9 sought to avoid having the United States become embroiled in the contentious
10 historical debate concerning comfort women between its two most important East
11 Asian allies.

44. For example, on May 8, 2001, the United States filed a Statement of
Interest in connection with a lawsuit brought by 15 former comfort women against
Japan entitled *Joo v. Japan*, United States District Court for the District of
Columbia, Case No. 1:00-cv-02233-HHK. That Statement of Interest warned that
addressing the comfort women issue in the United States could disrupt Japan's
"delicate" relations with China and Korea, thereby creating "serious implications
for stability in the region."

45. Based upon the Statement of Interest, the United States Court of
Appeal for the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed the *Joo* case as presenting
nonjusticiable political questions, holding that "choosing between the interests of
two foreign states . . . would adversely affect the foreign relations of the United
States."

46. The United States continues to encourage resolution of the comfort
women issue between Japan and its neighbors through government-to-government
negotiations. During a January 7, 2013 press briefing, White House Spokesperson
Victoria Nuland reported that the Administration "continue[s] to hope that the
countries in the region can work together to resolve their concerns over historical

•

issues in an amicable way and through dialogue. As you know, we have no closer
ally than Japan. We want to see the new Japanese Government, the new South
Korean Government, all of the countries in Northeast Asia working together and
solving any outstanding issues, whether they are territorial, whether they're
historic, through dialogue."

47. During a trip to Seoul, South Korea in February 2014, U.S. Secretary 6 of State John Kerry said: "It is up to Japan and [South Korea] to put history behind 7 them and move the relationship forward. And it is critical at the same time that we 8 maintain robust trilateral cooperation." "We urge our friends in Japan and South 9 Korea, we urge both of them to work with us together to find a way forward to 10 help resolve the deeply felt historic differences that still have meaning 11 today....We will continue to encourage both allies to find mutually acceptable 12 approaches to legacy issues from the past." 13

48. In February 2014, Daniel Russel, the U.S. Assistant Secretary of State
for East Asian and Pacific Affairs, commented that the U.S.'s position on the
comfort women issue is to continue efforts to help manage "sensitive historical
legacy problems in a way that contributes to healing and forgiveness in []
conversations in Japan and elsewhere in the region."

19

The Public Monument Threatens Irreparable Injury to Plaintiffs

49. Despite vocal domestic and international public protest, Glendalepersisted in installing the Public Monument, forcing Plaintiffs to bring this action.

50. Allowing the Public Monument to remain in place in Glendale's 22 Central Park threatens irreparable injury to Gingery, Mera, GAHT-US, and its 23 As a longtime resident of Glendale with active involvement in 24 members. Glendale's Sister City Program, the presence of the Public Monument within the 25 designated Sister City area of Glendale's Central Park has turned visiting Central 26 Park into a highly offensive endeavor, effectively denying Gingery full enjoyment 27 of the Park's benefits. 28

51. The presence of the Public Monument has had a similar impact on
 GAHT-US's members, including Mera, who avoid using and benefitting from
 Glendale's Central Park.

4 52. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the foregoing5 injuries.

53. If the Public Monument is removed, Plaintiffs will again make use of
Glendale's Central Park and its Adult Recreation Center.

8 54. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Plaintiffs9 and Defendants.

55. Plaintiffs contend that installation of the Public Monument
unconstitutionally intrudes on the Executive Branch's authority to conduct
American foreign policy, and that Glendale's installation of the Public Monument
violates Glendale's Municipal Code.

14 56. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendants disagree with15 Plaintiffs' contentions as set forth in the prior paragraph.

57. A justiciable controversy therefore exists between Plaintiffs and
Defendants and a judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in
order to determine the legality of Glendale's installation of the Public Monument.

19

20

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unconstitutional Interference With Foreign Affairs Power)

58. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate the allegations of Paragraph 1through 57 herein.

59. The Public Monument interferes with the Executive Branch's primary
authority to conduct foreign relations by disrupting federal foreign policy as to the
resolution of the historical debate concerning comfort women. The Public
Monument also violates the Supremacy Clause.

27 60. The Executive Branch's authority in the field of foreign affairs is28 violated by state or local actions that have more than an incidental or indirect effect

on, or that have the potential for disruption or embarrassment of, United States
 foreign policy.

Glendale's installation of the Public Monument has a direct impact on
U.S. foreign policy that is neither incidental nor indirect. By installing the Public
Monument, Glendale has taken a position in the contentious and politicallysensitive international debate concerning the proper historical treatment of the
former comfort women. More specifically, given the inflammatory language used
in the plaque that is prominently featured alongside the statue, Glendale has taken
a position at odds with the expressed position of the Japanese government.

10 62. The Public Monument is inconsistent with the dual foreign policy 11 objectives promulgated by the Executive Branch on this controversial issue: (1) 12 avoid taking sides in this sensitive historical and political debate between the 13 United States' two most important East Asian allies; and (2) encouraging a 14 resolution to the current diplomatic impasse between the two countries through 15 further government-to-government negotiations.

- 63. As the reactions from the highest echelons of the Japanese
 government make clear, Glendale's actions have great potential for disrupting the
 delicate diplomatic line struck by the Executive Branch on this contentious issue.
 The Public Monument thus threatens to undermine the U.S. government's foreign
 relations with a critical Asian ally and, more generally, to destabilize already
 strained diplomatic relations in this important region of the world.
- 64. Glendale's action also takes a position on a matter of foreign policywith no claim to be addressing a traditional state responsibility.
- 65. The actions of Glendale and the City Council in approving and
 installing the Public Monument are beyond its authority, in violation of the U.S.
 Constitution's foreign affairs power and the Supremacy Clause, and the Public
 Monument therefore must be removed.
- 28

66. The actions of defendant Ochoa in approving and submitting the
 proposal to install the Public Monument on public land, and in including a motion
 to approve the installation in the Special Meeting Agenda, are beyond his authority
 and unconstitutional, and the Public Monument therefore must be removed.

5 6

7

8

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of the Glendale Municipal Code)

67. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate the allegations in Paragraph 1 through 66 herein.

68. Glendale Municipal Code Section 2.04.140 provides: "In all matters
and things not otherwise provided for in this chapter, the proceedings of the
council shall be governed under Robert's Rules of Order, revised copy, 1952
edition." Pursuant to Robert's Rules of Order, to introduce a new piece of business
or propose a decision or action, a motion must be made by a group member. A
second motion must then also be made. And after limited discussion, the group
then votes on the motion. A majority vote is required for the motion to pass.

69. The Public Monument was not properly approved by the City Council 16 pursuant to Glendale Municipal Code Section 2.04.140. An integral part of the 17 Public Monument—the plaque that specifically attributes responsibility for, inter 18 alia, "snatching [women] from their homes" and "coerc[ing them] into sexual 19 slavery" to Japan—was neither proposed to the City Council nor made the subject 20 of a motion to the City Council, and was not approved by it, as required. In fact, 21 the proposed language presented to the Council never mentioned Japan at all, and 22 the City Council was specifically advised that the inscription on the plaque would 23 24 be different than the inscription ultimately used.

- 25 70. As a result, the installation of the monument violated the Glendale26 Municipal Code.
- 27
- 28

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
2	WHE	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief:		
3	1.	1. That the Court declare Glendale's installation of the Public Monument		
4	unconstitutional and null and void;			
5	2.	That the Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin and compel		
6	defendants,	efendants, and each of them, to remove the Public Monument from public		
7	property in Glendale, including but not limited to, any area in or adjacent to			
8	Central Park;			
9	3. That the Court award Plaintiffs their costs and attorneys' fees pursuant			
10	to 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and			
11	4.	For such other and fu	rther relief as the Court may deem just and	
12	proper.			
13	Dated: Feb	oruary 20, 2014	MAYER BROWN LLP	
14			NEIL M. SOLTMAN MATTHEW H. MARMOLEJO	
15			RUTH ZADIKANY REBECCA B. JOHNS	
16			REDECCA D. JOHNS	
17				
18			By: <u>s/ Neil M. Soltman</u> Neil M. Soltman	
19			Attorneys for Plaintiffs MICHIKO SHIOTA GINGERY, KOICHI	
20			MERA, and GAHT-US CORPORATION	
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	708340890	COMPLA	17 INT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	